

THE BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA LEGAL EDUCATION COMMITTEE MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting of the Legal Education Committee of the Bar Council of India was held on Saturday, 21st August 2010 at 11.00 A.M. and on Sunday, 22nd August 2010 at 9.30 A.M. at Imperial Hotel, Janpath, New Delhi.

The following members were present:-

- 1. Hon'ble Justice, A.P. Misra,**
Former Judge, Supreme Court of India,
Chairman, Legal Education Committee
- 2. Shri Ashok Kumar Deb,**
Member
- 3. Shri S. Gopakumaran Nair,**
Member
- 4. Dr. Gopal Narain Mishra,**
Member
- 5. Shri Hemant Kumar J. Patel,**
Member
- 6. Shri Apurba Kumar Sharma,**
Member
- 7. Shri Gopal Subramaniam,**
Solicitor General of India,
Chairman, Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
- 8. Shri R. Dhanapal Raj, Vice-Chairman,**
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
- 9. Shri Milan Kumar Dey, Sr. Advocate,**
Chairman, Executive Committee,
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
- 10. Shri Brij Mohan Vinayak,**
Vice-Chairman, Executive Committee,
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
- 11. Shri Daulat Ram Sharma,**
Associate Managing Trustee
Bar Council of India Trust,
Special Invitee
- 12. Shri Faisal Rizvi, Member**
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee

13. **Shri M. Rajender Reddy, Member,**
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
14. **Shri Ashok Parija, Member,**
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
15. **Shri Zafar Ahmed Khan, Member,**
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
16. **Shri Vijay Bhatt, Member,**
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
17. **Shri Biri Singh Sinsinwar, Member,**
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
18. **Shri Rajinder Singh Rana, Member,**
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
19. **Shri Manan Kumar Mishra, Member,**
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee
20. **Shri Satish Abarao Deshmukh, Member,**
Bar Council of India,
Special Invitee

Hon'ble Mr. Justice, A.P. Misra, Chairman, Legal Education Committee presided over the meeting.

ITEM NO.1(D)/2010(LE)

**Confirmation of the minutes of the meeting of
the Legal Education Committee held on 30th
April 2010.**

Minutes of the meeting of the Legal Education Committee held on 30th April 2010 is approved and confirmed.

ITEM NO. 2(D)/2010(LE)

**To consider the Action Taken Report on the
matters decided by the Legal Education
Committee meeting held on 30th April 2010**

Legal Education Committee considered the action taken report on the matters decided by the Legal Education Committee meeting held on 10th April 2010 and noted the same.

ITEM NO. 3(D) 2010(LE)

To consider the reports of inspection of the following Law Colleges submitted by the various inspection teams of the Bar Council of India

1. ITM University and ITM Law School, Gurgaon, HARYANA

The case of ITM Law School, ITM University is approved subject to the conditions mentioned in the Report. The ITM University is approved to impart law courses. Their approval is accorded for BBA LLB (Hons) 5 year integrated law degree course and LLB (Hons) 3 year degree course for the years 2010-11 and 2011-12. Details of candidates admitted in accordance with the above criteria will also be supplied to the Bar Council. The approval will be for two sections with an intake of 60 students for the 5 year course and one section with intake of 60 students for 2 years subject to the following conditions: -

1. *That the University shall construct a building for the Law School within two years*
2. *That the Law School shall appoint two more teachers for teaching management subjects in the second year.*

We also direct the institution to give an undertaking that it will abide by the revised curriculum as and when enforced. The admissions to the course must be conducted in a fair, objective and transparent manner without charging any capitation fee under the supervision of the Prof. in charge, Faculty of Law, Delhi University and the Registrar must send a compliance report to the Bar Council about the manner of the admission of the students. A compliance report must be sent to the Bar Council about the manner of admission of the students as well as the details of the candidates admitted.

2. S. S. S. Mata Ramabai Ambedkar Women's Law College, CIDCO, Aurangabad

General Order:-

That the Committee resolves that every application in future will not only be supported by the certificate of affiliation to the concerned University but the inspection committee report of the University should also be enclosed. This needs to be communicated to all the Universities.

The application was considered by the Legal Education Committee. Having regard to the nature of legal education to be imparted both in the 3 year LL.B. course as well as the 5 year LL.B. course, the material provided by the institution does not appear to satisfy the demanding criteria contained in the Rules. Therefore, the application is rejected on merits.

3. S. S. S. Devjibhai Hariya Law College, Kalyan, Distt- Thane, Maharashtra

The application was considered by the Legal Education Committee. Having regard to the nature of legal education to be imparted in the 5 year LL.B. course, the material provided by the institution does not appear to satisfy the demanding criteria contained in the Rules. Therefore, the application is rejected on merits.

4. Namdevraoji Parjane Patil Law College, Kopergaon, Distt-Ahmednagar, Maharashtra.

The application was considered by the Legal Education Committee. Having regard to the nature of legal education to be imparted both in the 3 year LL.B. course as well as the 5 year LL.B. course, the material provided by the Institution does not appear to satisfy the demanding criteria contained in the Rules. Therefore, the application is rejected on merits.

5. Capital Law College, Bhubaneshwar, Orissa

This College is identified as fit for being integrated in a restructuring plan. Since this is a continuing institution, the Committee accords approval subject to the further condition that in the non-law subjects, the requisite number of teachers (6 teachers) should be in place. The said teachers must have the requisite qualifications to teach the specialty concerned. **The extension of approval of affiliation is granted for imparting five year law course with one section of sixty students for the academic sessions 2010-2011.**

6. Kamkus College of Law, Ghaziabad, UP

Having noticed that appointment letters have been offered in respect of the subject of Sociology, English, Political Science (2), History and Economics (non-law subjects), we revise our decision and give permission to the said institution to make admission for the academic year 2010-2011 for the B.A. LL.B course for 3 sections of 60 students each. However, we make it clear that admission will have to be undertaken in a fair, objective and transparent manner under the supervision of the Prof. V. B. Coutinho, Director of Directorate of Legal Education.

7. Institute of Law and Research, Faridabad, Haryana

Institute of Law and Research, Faridabad, Haryana permission is granted for one section of 60 students BBA LLB for the academic session 2010-2011. Permission is granted for 2 sections of 60 students each in 5 year LL.B. and 3 sections of 60 students each of 3 year LL.B. Course. However, this would be subject to the condition that additional faculty as required under Rule-17 for the non law teaching subject should be appointed within a period of one month from today and the compliance report to be submitted to the DLE. Permission to admit is granted subject to the admission process being fair, reasonable and transparent. The admission process will be supervised by Professor-in-charge and Dean, Faculty of Law, Delhi University.

8. Unison School of Law, Dehradun, Uttrakhand.

In respect of the Unison School of Law the recommendation is approved.

The following is the recommendation of the Inspection Committee.

“We feel that the college have very few faculty members and the number of students is also very less and although there are two sections for both three year and five year law course, it appears that number of students for both the sections in each year is less than 60. So considering both the faculty

and the number of students, we recommend that hence forth number of sections be reduced from two sections to one section of 60 students for each course.

The inspection committee recommends for further extension of approval of affiliation with an intake of one section of 60 students in 3 year LL.B. Course and one section of 60 students in 5 year B.A. LL.B. for a further period of two years i.e. from the academic session 2010-2011 to 2011-2012 subject to following conditions: -

- 1. The college management must submit the affiliation order before the academic year 2010-2011 to the Bar Council of India immediately.**
- 2. The college should not admit more than 60 students in one section from the academic session 2010-2011.**
- 3. College should purchase books worth Rs.1,00,000/- every year as per the rules of the Bar Council of India. The relevant rules is given hereunder: -**

Minimum Library requirement: To start with, a Law Library shall have a set of AIR manual, Central Acts and Local Acts, Criminal law journal, SCC, Company cases, Indian Bar Review, selected Judgments on Professional Ethics and Journals with the back volumes for at least ten years and also such number of text books in each subjects taught during the period according to the minimum standard ratio of ten books for each registered students. For running integrated program, text books of such other subjects are also to be kept in the similar minimum ratio. The minimum investment in Library in each academic year shall be Rupees Fifty thousand for one stream and Rupees One Lakh for both the streams.”

The Committee therefore decides that for the academic session 2010-2011 only one section each of not more than 60 students is permitted for the three year course as well as the five year course. The college will not make admissions for five year course from the academic year 2011-2012. The condition relating to purchase of books must be complied with and compliance report should be forwarded to the Bar Council of India with evidence. Further a show cause notice be issued to the institution as to why approval of affiliation for B.A. LL.B. five year course should not be withdrawn w.e.f. 2011-2012. The records indicate that when the inspection committee conducted an inspection in the year 2007-2008, the teaching faculty which was consisting of nine members has completely changed and a new faculty is shown at *pages 46-47 of the report*. It may also be pointed out that the faculty claims to have 11 visiting faculty. The institution claims to have 11 visiting faculty and 14 guest faculty. The nature of the application does not indicate that the institution is capable of meeting the proactive standards expected for imparting an integrated legal education programme. Notice to be issued seeking the full details relating to the faculty, their credentials, and their publications must also be made available. The institution is also asked to produce evidence of any publications by any of the faculty members or students till date. In respect of the non-law subjects, the institution must be able to show that each one of the teachers has the requisite qualifications to teach the particular non-law subject and has secured post graduate qualifications in that subject.

9. College of Legal Studies, University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, Uttarakhand

The recommendation to impart B. Tech. LL.B for two sections of 60 students is approved for the academic years 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. The conditions which are specified in the recommendation must be complied with and a compliance report must be filed. Details of all the faculty members and their qualifications must also be made available. With reference to the technical curriculum in the integrated programme, the subjects as well as the teachers qualified to teach in those subjects must be disclosed. The evidence must also be produced before the Council about the nature of publications brought out by any of the members of the faculty or students till date.

Although the Council has given approval having regard to the overall contents of the application, a clarification is sought in respect of the following:-

“Faculty members of College of Engineering Studies who will provide support to College of Legal Studies”

Please clarify the exact implication of this caption appearing at page 55 of the report.

10. Symbiosis International University’s Off-Campus Centre, Noida, Uttar Pradesh.

The application of Symbiosis International University has been taken up for consideration. There was a detailed discussion by the House. Three factors which weigh in favour of the Institution are: -

1. The reputation of Symbiosis having established good institutions at Pune.
2. The curriculum which has been forwarded shows that it is a forward looking progressive curriculum in the B.B.A. LL.B. programme.
3. The physical construction in terms of facilities for students appears to be already in place.

The House then looked at the critical question whether there was adequate faculty present and whether the said factor is established. In Annexure 6 to the application filed by the College, adequate information is not forthcoming, although it is mentioned that there is one principal and there is a faculty of 9 (6 Assistant Professors and 2 Coordinator-cum-Teaching Assistants and 3 members of the visiting faculty). In the inspection report we notice that details of 8 teachers have been given in para 15. Thus, we do have some concern that the institution must ensure that the requisite number of faculty highly qualified must be in place while imparting B.B.A. LL.B. and B.A. LL.B. programme. Having extensively debated the matter, the House is of the considered opinion that insistence on the presence of qualified faculty to teach technical subjects in a B.B.A. LL.B. and B.A. LL.B. programme must be reiterated. The House decides that approval may be given for a period of 2 years namely, 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. However it is stipulated that as a pre-condition to the approval taking effect, the requisite number of faculty members to

undertake instruction in the B.B.A. LL.B. and B.A. LL.B. programme should be in place and a compliance report must be sent to the Bar Council within a period of one week from the date of receipt of this resolution. The College is also called upon to produce evidence of the names and qualifications of the teachers, full details including any academic publications which they may have undertaken in their professional fields.

The said compliance report be placed before the members of the Inspection Committee which conducted the inspection of the institution and Shri Faisal Rizvi (who was a member of the UGC Inspection Committee). As soon as the concurrence of this Committee is available, it will be open to the College to admit students provided the admissions are carried out in a fair, objective and transparent manner under the supervision of the Registrar of the University and the Registrar must send a compliance report to the Bar Council about the manner of the admission of the students and that no capitation fee is being charged for such admissions.

The Verification Committee will act expeditiously and scrutinize the compliance report and inform the institution. Office to communicate the said resolution *immediately*. Approval is given for a period of two years 2010-2011, 2011-2012, for admitting four sections in B. B. A. LL.B. and one section of 60 students in B.A. LL.B.

11. Eshwar Reddy College of Law, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh

The Committee is of the view that the Institution may be granted one more section to impart 3 year LL.B. course with intake of 60 students for the academic session 2010-2011 subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. *The present classroom to accommodate only 60 students in each class. There are only 10 classrooms in the building. It is advisable to have more classrooms or the college authorities to take initiatives to shift the college to some other building as they have stated that they have got vast land within the radius of 15 kms.***
- 2. *Purchase more Library Text Books, Journals etc.***
- 3. *The College should follow the Bar Council of India Rules strictly in the administration of the College.***

12. K. K. C. College of Law, Puttur

The institution K. K. C. College of Law, Puttur is permitted to add 2 more sections of 60 students in the 3 year Law course ie 3 sections in total. As far as one section permitted for the 5 year Law course is concerned, the said section will continue. Permission given upto academic session 2010-11.

The admissions to the course must be conducted in a fair, objective, rational and transparent manner without any capitation fee.

13. Basavashree College of Law, Kolar, Karnataka

After having considered the inspection report and other materials on record, the Committee grants approval for imparting 3 year Law course with three sections of 60 students each for the academic session 2010-2011. The institution will give an undertaking that as and when the curriculum is revised, they will abide by the revised curriculum. As far as the application for establishing a 5 year B.B.A. LL.B. course is concerned, the material on record is insufficient to grant such approval and the application is declined. As and when a full-fledged application with complete data relating to the B.B.A. LL.B. programme along with a curriculum as well as the details of the teaching staff is placed, the said application may be considered.

14. Hutama Rajguru Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's (HRSPM) Law College, Rajgurunagar, Pune

We have perused the inspection report. We are satisfied that this institution is unfit to be granted affiliation for imparting legal education. We notice from the records that even when the temporary approval was first given on the basis of inspection team's report, the approval was made subject to numerous conditions. The inspection report dated 13.02.2009 noticed that the management must appoint a permanent principal and it is clear from perusal of the said report as well as the present inspection report that this institution is completely unfit to impart legal education. The institution has acted blatantly in violation of law by commencing 5 year course w. e. f. 2009-2010 without the approval of the Bar Council of India. Under the circumstances, we direct that this institution will not admit any students from the academic year 2010-2011. This institution will neither admit students for the 3 year course or the 5 year course. We direct the issuance of a show cause notice to the institution as to why the institution or any of its members must not be precluded from making any application in future to set up any institution to impart legal education.

We further direct the Secretary that a public notice be issued that the institution is unrecognized as far as the 5 year course is concerned and those students who have obtained admission have done so at their own peril and risk. We issue notice to the University of Pune to explain to us under what circumstances this institution was first recommended and affiliated. We also ask the University of Pune to conduct a detailed enquiry into the circumstances in which this institution was entertained in the first place.

We are of the firm opinion that all the Universities must be notified that before they grant affiliation for any law course they must go through the LEC Regulations as well as the inspection manual. The Universities must be sensitized to the fact that legal education is a responsible stream of educational activity and unless and until adequate credentials are established by the applicant, the application must not be entertained.

This is to be put up in Marathi Newspaper and Website immediately.

15. B. R. M. Government Law College, Guwahati

Having perused the inspection report and taking into account the clarification offered by the Principal vide letter dated 18th August 2010, it is clear that additional teachers have been appointed and the College has shifted to a new building. Considering the fact set out in the inspection report and also considering the fact that this College is located in Assam and that it has been a Government Law College in which eminent lawyers have studied, we grant approval for the conduct of 3 year law course for academic sessions 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 for two sections of 60 students each and we also permit the admission of 60 students in one section for the integrated 5 year B.A. LL.B (Hons.) course. The above is subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The statement contained in the letter of the Principal dated 18th August, 2010 must be followed up and a compliance report must be forwarded to the Bar Council of India about the details of all the faculty members.
- b) A curriculum programme for B.A. LL.B. (Hons.) must also be forwarded to the Bar Council.
- c) There must be an undertaking that as and when the curriculum is revised, the revised curriculum shall be adopted.
- d) In addition, the conditions in para 21 of the inspection report must be complied with.
- e) The College may also furnish any publications of the faculty.

Compliance must be effected within a period of 8 weeks from the date of the communication of the resolution.

16. Dispur Law College, Dispur, Guwahati

The recommendations of the Inspection Committee are accepted and affiliation for the 3 year law course is extended for the years 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 subject to the condition that there will be three sections of 60 students each not exceeding 60 students in the section and we modify para 21 of the inspection report that:-

- “(a) The library should be updated on or before 31st October 2010.
- (b) The College authority should purchase textbooks worth Rs.1 lakh per year;
- (c) Classes should be held regularly and attendance must be enforced;
- (d) The College should follow the Bar Council norms strictly.”

The College must give an undertaking that it would abide by the revised curriculum as and when it is notified for the next academic year 2011-2012.

17. Sri Venkateswara College of Law, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh

Having perused the inspection report, we approve that the 3 year law course may be conducted with three sections of 60 students each as well as two sections of 60 students for the 5 year law course.

The conditions which have been stipulated in the inspection report must be complied with and an undertaking to that effect be filed.

We are not satisfied with the faculty positions which have been placed before us on record. Under the circumstances, we are unable to grant affiliation except for one year i.e. for the year 2010-2011. In addition, the College is called upon to explain the composition of the faculty, the credentials of the faculty, any publications which may be undertaken by the faculty as well as the number of class hours which are devoted for the purpose of teaching. The College is also called upon to produce evidence in respect of the fact that it holds regular classes and maintains attendance records. We also call upon the College to show to us the proposed plan for the construction of the building within a period of 2 years. The College shall furnish an undertaking that it will abide by the revised curriculum as and when notified for the new year, if affiliation is granted. We also direct the College to make available all the information through the web format which shall be communicated by the Bar Council. We call upon the Registrar, Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupathi, whether it has conducted periodic inspections of the Law College and place before us all the reports. The Directorate of Legal Education is directed to peruse the records and prepare a note to be placed before the Legal Education Committee.

18. Hurakadli Ajja Law College, Dharwad, Karnataka

We have perused the inspection report. In the larger interest of the standards of legal education, the Committee has no hesitation in accepting the inspection report and orders closure. The College is declined approval for the period 2009 onwards.

Let notice go to the Karnataka State Law University, Hubli about the closure of the Law College. The University will also ensure that public notices are given at large in all leading newspapers that no students will be admitted in Hurakadli Ajja Law College and ensure that the Law College is closed down and does not conduct the imparting of legal education. The Karnataka State Law University, Hubli will give a report to the Bar Council whether any students were admitted in the said College beyond the year 2009-2010. If so, the authority on the basis of which the College admitted students, should also be enquired into. A detailed report should be furnished by the Karnataka State Law University, Hubli about any further admissions which may have taken place and if so the scheme by which the said students be accommodated. It is made clear that no further admissions will be made in the said College. The University will issue publication of the same in the local newspaper and website.

19. NERIM Law College, Guwahati

Having perused the inspection report, permission is given to NERIM Law College, Guwahati to conduct the 5 year integrated B.A. LL.B. (Hons.) course for the year 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. The said recognition is given for the purpose of conduct of the 5 year programme only. The institution will have two sections, each section not exceeding 60 students. We are informed that the College building has been constructed. However, we wish to be satisfied about the qualifications of the teaching faculty. Let the College send a detailed report on the composition of the faculty, their credentials and publications, as well as its programme for the 5 year course. The College will give an undertaking that it will adopt the revised curriculum w. e. f. 2011-2012. We direct that the payment to the staff will be as per the UGC norms having

regard to the material placed before us. In the event the College is unable to admit more than one section of students, it shall intimate the Bar Council immediately. In the event that the College is not able to fill up two sections, the College will have to take steps for the purpose of closure. We also direct that the institution will make available all information in the web format to be communicated by the Bar Council.

20. N. E. F. Law College, Guwahati

Having perused the inspection report, we are satisfied that affiliation be given for two years i.e. 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 with an intake of two sections for B.A. LL.B. (Hons.) and B.B.A. LL.B. (Hons.). As far as the B.Com. LL.B. (Hons.) is concerned, having verified the inspection report and the records we find that no affiliation is available from the University for the said course. Therefore, we are unable to grant affiliation for B.Com. LL.B. (Hons.). In the event the institution is interested in pursuing this case for B.Com. LL.B. (Hons.), it must produce affiliation, course of study, plan of teaching as well as the names of teachers who will teach the said course and apply afresh for the said course. Since affiliation documents have been produced, approval is given for 2 sections of 60 students each.

21. B. M. Law College, Muzaffarnagar

Having perused the inspection report and having noticed the faculty present, we are unable to subscribe to the view that this college should be extended. We do not think that this college will be able to impart legal education of the standards specified under the Legal Education Committee Rules. Under the circumstances, we decline approval for the year 2010-2011. We also notice that this is a standalone institution. This college was given an NOC by the Government of Uttar Pradesh. We also notice that the 5 year law course has not been introduced. We further notice that this is not in a complex of teaching institution like University. Under these circumstances, we do not see any purpose in allowing an institution where the facilities run as follows:-

“The building consists of 6 class rooms, 1 store room, separate toilets for boys and girls, moot court hall, separate common rooms for boys and girls, staff room, principal room.....”

Let show cause notice be issued as to why the college must not be permanently placed in the debarred list. We also direct that no students will be admitted by the said institution. We call upon the Registrar, Choudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut to explain the circumstances under which the said institution has been allowed to operate.

22. School of Law, Jagannath University, Jaipur, Rajasthan (Verification Report)

In view of the verification report submitted by a member, the Law College of Jagannath University, Jaipur is permitted to conduct B.B.A. LL.B. with two sections of 60 students each for the academic year 2010-2011 and 2011-2012.

We also direct the institution to give an undertaking that it will abide by the revised curriculum as and when notified. We direct the institution to give the list of the faculty members along with full details relating to their qualifications as well as any publications to their credit. The admissions to the course must be conducted in a fair, objective and transparent manner under the supervision of the Registrar of the University and the Registrar must send a compliance report to the Bar Council about the manner of the admission of the students.

23. B. S. Anangpuria Institute of Law, Faridabad, Haryana

Having perused the inspection report, we extend approval for the year 2010-2011 for imparting 3 year as well as 5 year law course with three sections of 60 students each in both the courses. This is applicable for the academic year 2010-2011 only. We further direct that the college within a fortnight from the date of receipt of the order to make available to the Bar Council, details of the faculty, subjects they teach, the teaching programme, the syllabus being followed and other relevant details. The said details should be placed before the DLE which should submit a report *immediately*. If the report is adverse, then the matter will be taken up in the next Legal Education Committee meeting. The college will also give an undertaking that it would abide by the revised curriculum in the future if the affiliation is granted. We are pained to note that while in an earlier inspection report, the total number of books which were available in the library were 2054. The said number according to the present inspection report has actually come down to 1249. The conditions which have been mentioned in the inspection report that the college must maintain the teacher-student ratio of 1:40 and must purchase books worth Rs.2 lakh annually for the library, should also be strictly implemented and a compliance report be given.

24. R. K. Law College, Bangalore, Karnataka

On a perusal of the inspection report, we are not satisfied that R.K. Law College is maintaining the standards which are expected. The institution does not appear to be *prima facie* maintaining the standards under the Legal Education Committee Rules. However, having regard to the fact that the said institution is an existing institution, we consider it appropriate that the affiliation/approval be extended for the year 2010-2011 for 3 year law course (4 sections of 60 students each) and 5 year law course with one section of 60 students for the academic year 2010-2011. We are not satisfied that the institution is capable of imparting an LL.M. course. The application for granting LL.M. course is rejected. The college will give details of all the staff, the teaching programme, teaching plan and other relevant details.

25. Jindal Global Law School, O. P. Jindal Global University, Sonapat, Haryana

Having regard to the material as well as the inspection report, there is no manner of doubt that the Jindal Law School is maintaining standards and imparting legal education of high quality. Under the circumstances, approval is granted for B.A. LL.B. (Hons.) 5 year course and LL.B. (Hons.) 3 year course for the years 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. The existing sections may be maintained.

26. Faculty of Law, The Institute of Chartered Financial Analysts of India University, Sikkim.

Having perused the inspection report and having regard to the fact that the said institution is being placed in Sikkim and in order to give educational opportunities to people in the Northeast, we accord the permission to the said institution to commence one section of B.B.A. LL.B (Hons.), one section of B.Com. LL.B. (Hons.) and one section of LL.B. 3 years for the academic year 2010-2011 only. Having regard to the scheme of the Rules, we permit the institution to keep two sections of 60 students each for each of the 3 cases. The said affiliation is temporary in character and is valid only for the period 2010-2011. The institution will be re-inspected at the end of one year and a fresh report will be elicited. It is also clarified that the University will have to give an undertaking that it will abide by the revised curriculum as and when necessary. In view of the fact that The Institute of Chartered Financial Analysts of India University is set up under an Act of ICFAI University, Sikkim, it will conduct admissions in a fair, objective and transparent manner under the supervision of the Registrar of the University and the Registrar must send a compliance report to the Bar Council about the manner of the admission of the students, without charging any capitation fee.

27. Dr. Ambedkar Government Law College, Puducherry (Verification Report)

The verification report is accepted. Approval is accorded for admission of students for the current academic year for two sections for the 5 year integrated programme B.A. LL.B. and 3 year LL.B. course. Under the circumstances, approval is given for the LL.M. course with an intake of 60 students in one section. Approval is for the year 2010-2011, 2011-2012.

28. Babu Jagjeevanram Law College, Basaveswaranagar, Bangalore

Considering the fact that the college has been established for the purpose of encouraging access to education for the members of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, we have looked at this inspection report in a holistic way. We have noted that the institution has to move to a proper building and the college has now got land to construct its own law college. We also notice that the faculty which is in place may not be sufficient for the purpose of complying with the mandatory requirement of two sections which are mandated under the Rules. We grant approval for the B.A. LL.B. 5 year course for an intake of 60 students (2 sections) subject to the condition that the faculty must be reinforced and adequate appointments must be made to ensure that non-legal subjects and legal subjects are adequately covered. We insist that each of the teachers who teach a subject must have a post-graduate qualifications in that subject according to the UGC norms. Further, we would like the admission process to be fair, transparent and no undue advantage/patronage must be shown while admitting students to such an institution. We insist that admissions must be conducted in a fair, objective and transparent manner under the supervision of the Registrar of the Karnataka State Law University, Hubli and the Registrar must send a compliance report to the Bar Council about the manner of the admission of the students, on or before 31st October 2010. Approval is given for 2 years 2010-2011, 2011-2012.

29. Navsamvat Law College, Lalpur, M.P.

The institution is granted permission to have two sections for continuing three year law course for the 2010-2011. It will give an undertaking that it will adopt the revised curriculum as and when notified. As far as the request to commence five year law course is concerned the decision is deferred. The college has to place on record, within a period of 10 days from today, the faculty to teach non law subject and the teaching plan for the non law subject throughout the integrated course. The nomenclature of integrated course must be also clearly specified. In addition, the details of the faculty, their certificate, publications if any to their credit also be forwarded. The said details will also be verified by the Chairman of the State Bar Council who will send his confirmation report along with the said particulars. Upon the said report being made available the committee will consider whether approval should be given for the commencement of the five year law course. Until formal order is passed by Legal Education Committee no admissions for five year course be undertaken.

The DLE will place the report before Hon'ble Justice A.P. Mishra and also the Chairman, Bar Council of India and the decision will take the decision.

30. Shri D. D. Kotiyawala Municipal Law College, Porbandar, Gujarat.

The verification report in respect of the Shri D. D. Kotiyawala Municipal Law College, Porbandar, Gujarat is accepted. Consequently ban on admission is lifted. Permission is given to conduct three year LL.B. course for the year 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. College to give an undertaking that they will abide by the revised curriculum as and when notified. Details of the faculty along with relevant information in relation to them to be forwarded to the Bar Council of India within a period of three weeks from the date of the receipt of the order. Admission to be conducted on a fair, transparent and rational basis under the supervision of the Vice Chancellor of the Saurashtra University, Rajkot.

31. Nalanda Law College, Borivali (W), Mumbai.

Having perused the inspection report in respect of the Nalanda Law College, Borivali (W), Mumbai, permission is granted for a period of two years 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 to conduct LL.B. (3 year course) for three sections (each section not exceeding 60 students). Permission is also granted to conduct five year integrated course B.A. LL.B. (one section not exceeding 60 students). However, it is noticed that the teaching staff in respect of the non law subject has to be reinforced. Approval is granted subject to the condition that the institution will within 10 days from the date of the receipt of the order appoint at least three teaches in relation to the non law subject and forward the said report. The said report will be placed before the Chairman, Legal Education Committee and Chairman, Bar Council of India and DLE. The admissions to the said institution will be conducted in fair, transparent and rational manner under the supervision of the Registrar, Mumbai University.

32. Indian Institute of Legal Studies, Darjeeling, West Bengal.

Having considered the inspection report, we direct the Indian Institute of Legal Studies, Darjeeling, West Bengal to comply with the following conditions. The compliance of the said conditions will be supervised by the Vice Chancellor of the North Bengal University. We direct that for teaching B.B.A. LL.B., B.Com. LL.B. with two sections each (each section not exceeding 60 students), requisite faculty in terms of Rule-17 of Schedule III of Legal Education Rules, 2008 should be appointed and be in place. Upon compliance with the conditions stipulated in the Rule-17 that there would be adequate faculty for both law and non law subject, and after satisfaction is entered to that effect by the Vice Chancellor by the North Bengal University, we give permission to the institution to admit students for two sections of each of three courses for which affiliation is valid for the year 2010-2011 only. The institution should submit an undertaking that it will abide by the revised curriculum as and when notified. We further request Vice Chancellor, North Bengal University to ensure that admission into the institution is conducted in the fair, objective and transparent manner having regard to merit and no capitation fee is charged by the institution under any circumstance. In addition we direct the institution shall pay UGC pay scales to the teaching staff/teaching faculty. We also further direct that evidence (in the form of CD as well as hard copy) of the letters of appointment must be made available to the Bar Council of India within a period of one month from the date of the receipt of this order. We further direct that the Vice Chancellor of the North Bengal University shall ensure that the appointment of law teachers takes place on merit having regard to canons of transparency and accountability and ensure properly selected teachers are appointed to the institution. We request the Vice Chancellor, North Bengal University to be responsible for the said process and oversee that the said conditions be complied with. The institution is permitted to open 2 sections of 3 year LL.B. Course.

33. Manair College of Law, Khammam, A. P.

The said institution is affiliated to Kakatiya University, Warangal. The inspection team recommended for approval of affiliation to the above college for the three year LL.B. course with intake of 2 sections of 60 students each for the year 2010-2011. There shall be a fresh inspection before the end of 2010-2011 for continuance of the affiliation subject to the fulfillment of the following conditions: -

1. The college should continue to purchase books worth of Rs.50,000/- every year.
2. The College should strictly comply with the conditions laid down in the new Rules of the Bar Council of India.
3. The teachers should be paid U. G. C. Salary.

34. Bharti Vidyapeeth's New Law College, Kolhapur

After having perused Bharti Vidyapeeth's New Law College, Kolhapur approval is given for three year course for the year 2010-2011, for three sections of 60 students. In so far as the five year law course is concerned the Committee is not satisfied that having regard to the faculty members that there is sufficient faculty to teach an integrated programme. Under the said circumstances, we suspend any further admissions for the academic year 2010-2011.

Notice to show cause as to why the approval for the five year law course be not withdrawn.

35. Bharathi Vidyapeeth's New Law College, Sangli.

Having perused the inspection report and the record, permission is given for imparting three year law course with intake of 3 sections of 60 students each. Approval is given for 2010-2011. Permission for imparting five year law course with intake of 2 sections of 60 students is declined for the academic year 2010-2011. Issue notice to show cause as to why approval for the five year law course be not withdrawn.

Show Cause Notice to the University i.e. Shivaji University, Kolhapur as to why the approval be not withdrawn. The Shivaji University is to make available all records relating to inspection as well as affiliation of the said college.

36. P. S. Raju Law College, Kakinada, A.P.

Having perused the inspection report, we direct that no admission would be undertaken either in three year or five year law course. Orders of suspension to operate immediately. Notice to show cause as to why approval for three year and five year be not permanently withdrawn. Issue notice to Andhra University, Waltair to show cause as to why recommendation about the university be not made to the University Grants Commission for having permitted the institution to be affiliated.

37. Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Law, Kakinada

Having perused the report, we are satisfied that the institution will not be in a position to offer a five year integrated course. Permission to conduct a five year law course is declined. Notice to show cause as why approval of five year law course be not withdrawn. Injunction is issued against the college from admitting students for the five year law course.

Having regard to the facilities, we give a conditional approval for conducting the three year law course for the academic year 2010-2011. There shall be a further inspection at the end of the 2010-2011 before the expiry of the year. We also direct that the details of all the faculty members must be clearly mentioned along with the credentials and certificates. We have also noted that there are 8 part time teachers who have been listed in paragraph 15 of the inspection report. The faculty must essentially consist of full time teachers. The permission is granted for two sections for running 3 year law course (not exceeding 60 students each).

38. Prasunna College of Law, Kurnool

Having perused the inspection report, we are not satisfied that this institution will be able to impart legal education. Accordingly, we decline approval for affiliation both for three year and five year w. e. f. 2010-2011. Show cause as to why the approval be not withdrawn.

Issue notice to Registrar, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur setting out the circumstances under which the said institutions has been granted affiliation for running the 3 year and the 5 year law course. The Krishnadevaraya University may also

specify the criteria for affiliation, the date of inspection, manner in which the inspection was conducted, whether any interaction with faculty was undertaken, and what other criteria were taken into account. The Registrar, Krishnadevaraya University will also place before us, whether the affiliation was granted by the Executive Council of the Academic Council of the said university. The Krishnadevaraya University will also intimate to us whether they are familiar with the Legal Education Rules, 2008 as well as the prescription of the law courses and the curriculum to be taught in the institution. With reference to the instruction which is being undertaken by the institution during the last three years, the university should submit a report about the instruction and legal education having been given here.

Let the copy of the order be endorsed to the Registrar of the Andhra Pradesh High Court for information.

39. Yashwantrao Chavan Law College, Karad.

Having perused the report, the committee recommends affiliation for the three year law course with an intake of 3 sections of 60 students each for the academic year 2010-2011. Before the end of the academic year 2010-2011, there will a further inspection to consider whether the affiliation to the three year course be continued. Having regard to the facts and circumstances including the absence of adequate faculty to teach non law subjects, we decline permission for conduct of five year law course w.e.f. 2010-2011. Notice to show cause as to why approval for the five year course be not withdrawn.

Issue show cause notice to the Registrar of Shivaji University, Kolhapur about the circumstances under which affiliation was granted for the five year law course. The Registrar will also make available the criteria for affiliation employed by the University for affiliating the law college and further information whether the said affiliation was placed before the Senate/Academic Council/Executive Council of the University and if so place a copy of the said resolution thereof by which the said affiliation was granted.

40. Department of Law, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar University, Srikakulam, Etcherla, A. P.

Having regard to the facts that the said institution admitted students beyond the academic year 2005-2006 when the extension of approval of affiliation was denied, it is not possible to treat the institution with any leniency. The said institution stands disqualified from seeking affiliation.

Notice to show cause as to why permanent approval be not denied.

General Order

If any institution violates the order of the Bar Council of India and admits students when the recognition is not in force, the institution will automatically be de-recognized. As and when any institution violates the criteria/orders of the Bar Council of India it shall be precluded and debarred from making any fresh application for starting a law college and that college will be black listed.

41. Sandesh College of Law, Tagore Nagar Vikhroli, Mumbai.

In respect of the Sandesh College of Law permission for three year law course is given for the academic year 2010-2011 only. The college will reinforce its faculty members. We notice that lecturer at Sl. No.3 Miss. Yadav Deepk Uttam, is B.A., LL.B. and therefore disqualified as a lecturer. The college will place sufficient faculty to undertake legal education of the higher standard and report compliance within a period of 10 days from the date of the receipt of the order. In view of the Rules, permission is given for conducting LL.B. three year course for two sections each section not exceeding 60 students. The admission to the college will be done on a fair, objective and impartial basis under the supervision of the Vice Chancellor of the Mumbai University.

42. Seva Sadan Vidhi Mahavidyalaya, Burhanpur, Madhya Pradesh.

Having regard to the college Seva Sadan Vidhi Mahavidyalaya, Burhanpur, Madhya Pradesh, the said institution be inspected by a fresh team appointed by the Bar Council of India.

Inspection committee can consist of Mr. Rajinder Rana, Member, Professor Ved Kumari, Delhi University, Mr. Zafar Ahmed Khan, Member, Bar Council of India as a Special Invitee and Chairman, State Bar Council or his nominee .

43. P. E. S. Modern Law College, Pune

Having perused the inspection report of Modern Law College, Ganesh Khind University Circle, Pune permission is given to conduct the three year law course with two sections of 60 students each for a period of two year 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. However, there shall be an inspection of the college prior to the expiry of 2010-2011. The college does not have adequate full time faculty in non law subjects and therefore the college is issued a direction to place adequate faculty for the non law subject and to ensure that a combined degree programme can be imparted. We direct the college to appoint additional faculty on a regular basis subject to payment of UGC pay scale within 10 days from date or receipt of the order. The compliance report will be placed before the DLE. The compliance report will also be counter signed by Vice Chancellor of University of Pune and the Vice Chancellor is requested to ensure that the credentials of the appointees are verified. Upon such compliance report being made available the said report will be scrutinized by DLE and will be placed before Chairman Legal Education Committee and Chairman, Bar Council of India.

In view of the fact that there is inadequate faculty for the purpose of conducting regular five year law course combined degree instruction programme it is not possible to accord permission for conducting the five year law course. As and when the requisite faculty is appointed and placed a fresh application may be made available. Show cause to University of Pune.

44. Manjra Charitable Trust's College of Law, Navi Mumbai

Having perused the inspection report of Manjra Charitable Trust's College of Law, Navi Mumbai, we are not satisfied that the institution will be able to impart top quality legal education either in the three year or the five year. Under the circumstances, we decline approval w.e.f. 2010-2011 and do not grant approval for the year 2010-2011 either for the three year course or for the five year course. Notice to show cause as to why approval for three year or five year course be not withdrawn.

45. IIMT Law College, Meerut

Having perused the report of IIMT Law college, approval is given for continuing legal education in the three year course with two sections of 60 students each for the academic year 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. The college will give undertaking that it will abide by any revised curriculum which may be issued. In addition, the conditions mentioned in para-21 of the inspection report should be complied with not later than 31.10.2011. Permission is granted for conducting the five year integrated course for the academic session 2010-2011 only. Details of the teachers as well as the teaching plan and the full programme and the curriculum design being followed by the institution for teaching the five year law course should be made available to the Bar Council of India which should be placed and processed by the DLE.

46. Maa Jinwani College of Legal Studies, Pushpgiri Treeth, Sonkatch, Madhya Pradesh

Perused report, we are not satisfied that the institution will be able to impart legal education. Request is declined.

47. Justice Kumarayya College of Law, Karim Nagar

We refer to the recommendation of the inspection team and accept the same. We grant approval of affiliation for imparting three year law course for academic session 2010-2011 only. It will be subject to the condition mentioned in the inspection report. We also make it clear that there should be reinforcement of the faculty in accordance with the conditions of the inspection team. The salary which should be payable to the teaching faculty is not as per the UGC pay scale.

The Vice Chancellor of the Satavahana University will ensure compliance and is requested to forward a report to the Bar Council of India. Admission for the three year law course for two sections (each section not exceeding 60 students) is granted. We also direct that the admission will be undertaken in a fair, transparent and careful manner under the supervision of the Vice Chancellor of the Satavahana University.

48. College of Law, D. N. R. College Association, Bhimavaram, A.P

After having perused the inspection report, we grant permission to the said institution to conduct 3 year LL.B. Course for the year 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. Approval is given for 2 sections of 60 students each. However, permission for five year course having regard to the composition to the faculty is declined. Issue notice to show cause as to why recognition to five year be not withdrawn.

49. School of Law, SASTRA University, Tanjavur

After having perused the inspection report of the School of Law, SASTRA University, Tanjavur, we are satisfied that this institution can be given permission to conduct the five year integrated programme in B. A. LL.B. (one section), B. Com. LL.B. (two sections) and B.B.A. LL.B. (one section) for the period 2009-2010, 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. However, the institution will give an undertaking that it will abide by the changed curriculum as and when notified.

The conditions mentioned in the para – 21 are reiterated: -

- 1. The University has to follow the recent Rules of the Bar Council of India strictly.*
- 2. The Dress Code for law students should be implemented.*
- 3. The continuous Legal Aid programmes should be organized.*

Details of the plan being followed for the three subjects must be immediately made available to the Bar Council of India and the same will be placed before the DLE.

50. S. I. P. E. Law College, Dibrugarh, Assam

Perused the report of S. I. P. E. Law College, Dibrugarh, Assam. On account of the insufficiency of the faculty we are not satisfied that the institution will be able to render B.A. LL.B. (Hons.), B. Com. LL.B. (Hons.) and B. B. A. LL.B. (Hons.) programmes. The application is declined.

As and when proper faculty is appointed, teaching plans are in place and full details are available, the college is at a liberty to present a fresh application.

Decision taken on 22.08.2010

Having regard to the facts that the location of the S.I.P.E Law college is near the China Border and is controlled by army presence, we decided to reconsider. We reconsider the said matter.

Having regard to the special facts and circumstance that the said college is in the Northeast and that it is located at Dibrugarh and that we have been assured that infrastructure exists, we direct the SIPE Law College to appoint 3 LL.M. teachers forthwith. Compliance must be reported within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the order to the DLE, which compliance report would be placed before Hon'ble Chairman, Legal Education Committee for appropriate orders. We permit the college to make admissions on the basis of merit, transparent and objective criteria. The admission process will be supervised by the Vice Chancellor, Dibrugarh University. While admission letter will be issued the admissions will take effect only after the compliance report has been accepted by the Bar Council of India. The permission is given for one section of B.A. LL.B., one section of B. Com. LL.B. and one section of B.B.A. LL.B. However, it is necessary that in the compliance report the college must show that total compliance of Rule-17 of Schedule III of the Legal Education Rules, 2008 is achieved.

ADDITIONAL AGENDA

51. Sridhar University, Pilani, Rajasthan

Sridhar University Faculty of Law, Rajasthan. We have perused the inspection report. However, we do not have the details of the faculty and the teaching staff available on our records. The institution seeks approval for B.B.A. LL.B., B. Com. LL.B., B.Sc. LL.B. and B. Tech. LL.B. courses. These courses have distinct field of teaching and specialization. We have also examined the advertisement given by the University for appointment of the Dean and Professor but it does not satisfy us that the selection is being made on a nation wide basis. We wish to satisfy ourselves that the faculty as well as those who head the law college will be able to impart high quality legal education. We direct the university to make available requisite information keeping in view the requirements of the Rule-17 Schedule III of the Legal Education Rules, 2008 with complete details of teachers, their appointment letters, contract of appointment, publications, academic credentials. The same should be counter signed by the Vice Chancellor of the Sridhar University that he is satisfied about the academic credentials.

The said document be made available to the DLE which will primarily report in respect of the same and the matter will be placed before the Legal Education Committee in the next meeting.

52. Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur

Having considered the report of Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur and having perused the inspection report we are permitting the institution. We permit an additional section for running B.A. LL.B. five year course. We also permit one section of three year LL.B. course. We give permission for the year 2010-2011 and 2011-2012, subject to the condition that details of the teaching staff, teaching plans for the B.A. LL.B. (Hons.) as well as the three year LL.B. course be furnished. We request Prof. V. S. Mani, Director, Law School to personally ensure that admissions are undertaken on the basis of objective, fair and transparent criteria and to further ensure that no capitation fee is charged by the institution.

53. University Institute of Legal Studies, H.P. University, Shimla, H. P.

We have examined the report of the University Institute of Legal Studies, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla. We accept the report. We are happy to note that the inspection committee has recorded its views about the pitiable condition in which the law faculty of the Himachal Pradesh University is presently located. We accept all the conditions recommended by the inspection team. We are of the opinion that the University should have taken proactive stance in admitting the regular faculty. We may note that Mr. Kamal Jeet Singh is holding an additional charge of the post of Director and is not even a regular appointee, we also note that out of 10 regular sanctioned post two posts of reader and seven posts of lecturer are vacant. It is also stated that five posts have been filled up by contractual appointee. Lecturers are engaged on a lecture basis for Rs.250/- per lecture subject to a maximum of Rs.12000/- per men sum. We are deeply disappointed at the way in which the Himachal Pradesh University has looked after its law

faculty. Ordinarily, we would not have granted affiliation to the said law school, but, considering the fact that this is a faculty of law of the Himachal Pradesh University from which eminent lawyers and judges have passed out, there is a public duty cast on the State Government of Himachal Pradesh as well as the Himachal Pradesh University to remedy the situation. If the situation is not remedied within a period of three months and if regular appointments are not made to the faculty and UGC pay scales are not paid to the faculty members on or before 30.11.2010, we shall be constrained to recommend to the UGC about the insufficient and inadequate performance of the Himachal Pradesh University. We are of the opinion that legal education in a state funded university cannot be left to deteriorate. One of the causes of commercialization of legal education has been that the State Universities which should be looking after the law faculties, making substantive appointments, giving proper pay scale have overlooked very important function. It is on account of this that the Bar Council of India has noticed the growth of private legal educational institutions. We are satisfied that this is a case where we must call upon the State Government of Himachal Pradesh (through the Chief Secretary of the Government of Himachal Pradesh and Vice Chancellor, Himachal Pradesh University) to take immediate and remedial action in the larger interest of legal education. We direct the office to send a copy of this order to the Registrar, Himachal Pradesh High Court for information and appropriate action.

We reliably learn that the funds which are allocated for the law faculty are in fact being disbursed to other faculty and there is diversion of funds which are allocated for law teaching. We think the UGC must take suitable steps to ensure that there is proper audit and accounting of funds which are allocated for the law faculty. In our view there must be a proper budget which should be presented for law faculty of state university and the state university shall present the budget before the UGC for approval.

Permission is granted for the law faculty of the Himachal Pradesh University for five year integrated LL.B. course B.A. LL.B 2 sections. Having regard to the faculty available we are unable to give permission for an additional section.

A copy of this order be also endorsed to the Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development and Higher Education. In the light of this order we direct that the notice be issued to the Vice Chancellors of all the State University within the country which are faculties of laws, to report to us on the following aspects.

1. What is the budget which was sanctioned for the faculty of law for the year 2009-2010 and 2010-2011?
2. What are the subjects in which legal education is being imparted, whether LL.B., LL.M. Ph.D. or integrated law courses?
3. Total number of sanctioned post.
4. How many sanctioned post have been filled and whether they have been filled by an open and transparent process?
5. How many years posts have remained vacant as far as law teaching is concerned?
6. What are the steps which have been taken by the Vice Chancellor to fill up the said posts assuming that the said fact was drawn to the attention of the Vice Chancellor?

7. Whether any reports were sent to the State Government or the High Court of the concerned state pointing out the situation in relation to the faculty of law of the state university?

Upon receipt of this information the DLE is instructed to prepare a summary with reference to each of the state university which is a law faculty after which the Chairman will issue a communication to the Chief Justice of the respective High Court about the situation in the state university and the faculty of law in that university.

Also send the copy of this resolution to the Chairman of all the State Bar Councils.

All the Vice Chancellors are requested to submit their reports within a period of four weeks. Draft letters to be prepared by the DLE, Rahul Singh to be issued by Chairman to the Chief Secretary of the each state calling upon each state to furnish information with reference to the status of law faculty, the funds allocated, reasons for failure and the steps proposed to be taken for remedying legal education. The Bar Council of India expresses its deep concern over the deteriorating condition of law teaching in Government aided institutions as well as Government funded university. We are of the opinion that before alternative recourse to private educational institution be taken, it is the duty of the government in accordance with the Constitution to provide access to high quality education. For that purpose it is necessary that in the discipline of science and law, the Government must take care to see that the faculty of law which should be established in the state university are adequately funded, looked after and that motivation is imparted on a continuing scale to discover better teaching processes in each of these educational institution. The matter will be placed before the Legal Education Committee for further orders.

54. Mahaveer Law College, Jaipur

Permission to conduct three year LLB programme with two sections of 60 students is granted for two years 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. This is subject to the fulfillment of the conditions specified in the inspection report. Compliance report to be submitted. University to give an undertaking that it will follow the revised curriculum as and when notified. The college is also called upon to supply to the Bar Council of India detailed profile in relation to the teachers, qualifications, instruction of teaching, teaching plan as well as syllabus being followed.

55. Dr. Govind Prasad Rani Devi Patel Vidhy Mahavidyala,

Permission is given to conduct three year course of 4 sections of 60 students for the academic year 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. However, the institution will supply a detailed report in relation to the faculty, the course being taught, the teaching plan and other relevant particulars. DLE to scrutinize the compliance report and place the summary for the perusal of the Chairman, Legal Education Committee.

ITEM NO.92/2009

To consider the letter dated 09.09.2009 received from Lynne M Liversey, Head of School, Lancashire Law School, University of Central Lancashire, UK requesting the Council to recognize LL.B. degree of Lancashire Law School, University of Central Lancashire, UK under sec.24(1)(C)(iv) of the Advocates Act 1961.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO.32/2010(LE)

To consider letter dated 22.4.2010 received from the Vice Chancellor, Christ University, Bangalore requesting the Council to review the decision taken by the Legal Education Committee at its meeting held on 10.4.2010 and grant approval of affiliation for additional section in BA LLB and BBA LLB Courses from the academic year 2010-2011.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO.41/2010(LE)

To consider the proposal submitted by Prof. V. B. Coutinho, Director, Legal Studies in respect of digitalization of data received from colleges and institutions imparting Legal Education.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 42/2010(LE)

To consider the opinion of Hon'ble Chairman, Bar Council of India in the judgement passed in Writ Petition No. 5274/2009 in the matter of obtaining direct M.A. degree in open university system.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 43 /2010(LE)

1. **To consider letter No. CLC/BCI/10/283 dated 14.5.2010 received from Principal, Chanakya Law College regarding lateral entry.**

&

2. **To consider letter No. nil dated 18.5. 2010 received from Mody Institute of Technology and Science regarding lateral exit.**

1. Having regard to the facts and circumstances placed before us we clarify that batches of the year 2007-2008, 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 of Chanakya Law College, Rudrpur, Uttarakhand, will be permitted lateral entry/exit. However, the same is subject to the condition that the admission must have taken place before 12/13.09.2009. The reference is disposed of.

2. The reference made by Mody Institute of Technology and Science is disposed of in the same terms i.e. students who have been admitted prior to the 12/13.09.2009 will be governed by the previous dispensation and would be permitted lateral entry/exit.

ITEM NO. 44/2010(LE)

To consider the reply received from the following law colleges in respect of show cause notice issued to them.

1. **Vikramajit Singh Sanatan Dharma college, Kanpur**
2. **D. A. V. P. G. College, Lucknow**
3. **Faculty of Law, Vardhman College, Udaipur**
4. **Jorhot Law College, Jorhat**
5. **Faculty of Law, University of Allahabad, Allahabad**
6. **Bihar Institute of Law, Patna**
7. **Imamul Hai Khan Law College, Jharkhand.**
8. **Chotanagpur Law College, Ranchi**
9. **Govt. New Law College, A. B. Road, Indore, M.P.**
10. **Kempegowda Law College, Chickballapur, Karnataka**

1. **Vikramajit Singh Sanatan Dharma College, Kanpur**

We have examined the reply of the Vikramajit Singh Sanatan Dharama College, Kanpur, in view of the fact that the institution is running primarily evening classes and that there are 10 posts vacant. We confirm the show cause notice dated 16.02.2010. We have also taken note of the fact that this institution has been accredited by NAAC as grade 'A'. The Institution shall run day classes. However, as and when the institution has adequate faculty, the institution would be at liberty to approach the Bar Council of India by means of a fresh application which shall be disposed of in accordance of law.

2. DAV PG College, Lucknow, U.P.

D.A.V. P.G. College, Lucknow, U.P. the matter is considered. Having regard to the contents of the report of the inspection team of the State Bar Council dated 29.03.2009, we are unable to accord any permission to the said institution to admit students from 2010-2011. However, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case we give liberty to the college to make a fresh consolidated application for recognition with all relevant particulars including details of teacher, teaching programme, number of students proposed to be admitted, details of infrastructures and other relevant particulars. The said application will be entertained and an inspection team will be constituted for the purpose of undertaking an inspection of the said college. The application of the college will be treated on merits in accordance with law.

3. Faculty of Law, Vardhman College, Udaipur.

We have examined the inspection report, this is in respect of Faculty of Law, Vardhman College, Udaipur. We permit this college to admit students to the academic year 2010-2011 subject to the condition that the building will be constructed on or before 30.09.2011. The institution will give an undertaking that it will abide by any revised curriculum as and when issued. The institution will also give an undertaking that it will maintain the standards of legal education as contained in the Bar Council of India Rules.

4. Jorhat Law College, M.G. Road, Jorhat.

Having considered the response of the Jorhat Law College, we are not satisfied with the reply to the show cause notice in view of the facts that the details of the staff have not been properly furnished. Liberty is, however, granted to Jorhat Law College to furnish a detailed reply including full details of all the teachers with their credentials along with the certificates and any publications. The said details will be attested and verified by the Vice Chancellor of the Dibrugarh University. The said reply upon receipt will be taken into consideration by the Legal Education Committee after preliminary scrutiny by the DLE and place before Chairman, Legal Education Committee and Chairman, Bar Council of India.

5. Faculty of Law, Allahabad University, Allahabad

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

6. Bihar Institute of Law, Patna

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

7. Imamul Hai Khan Law College, Bokaro Steel City

Consideration of the above matters be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

8. Chotanagpur Law College, Ranchi, Jharkhand

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

9. Govt. New Law College, A. B. Road, Indore

We have examined the case of Government P.G. Law College, Indore. We are shocked to see that the Government Law College has not been paid adequate attention by the State Government and adequate steps have not been taken to reinforce the college in a proper building with a modern infrastructure. We record the same with anguish. It has been brought to our attention by Learned Members of the State Bar Council that all Government Law Colleges in the State of Madhya Pradesh have been handed over to the Jan Bhagidari Samiti for the management and the administration without any financial aid. We are also given to understand that the said Samiti consists of local political leaders. We are further given to understand that the colleges are being maintained out of the fees of various students which are self generated. However, the Government has reserved to itself the power to appoint teaching and non teaching staff.

We deprecate the above practice.

We request the Chief Secretary of the Government of Madhya Pradesh to reply to us whether the above allegations are correct, if so, what measures will to be taken by the State Government of Madhya Pradesh to look after the Government College in the State, the further steps which are urgently required for protecting the Government PG Law College, Indore, whether the Government PG Law College, Indore is going to be shifted to the new building, and if so, whether adequate funds will be deployed by the Government. If the State Government of Madhya Pradesh is prepared to give an undertaking that all the deficiencies which have been pointed out above will be suitably redressed in a time bound manner and subject to objective verification we shall consider the application of the Government PG Law College Indore for permission to admit students for the academic year 2010-2011 for 3 year course.

As far as five year programme is concerned we are not satisfied that the College is in a position to conduct a five year teaching programme. However, we give leave and liberty to State Government of Madhya Pradesh, considering the facts that the State of Madhya Pradesh has housed Sagar University, which was one of the founder University of legal education and which was donated by Sir Hari Singh, we consider it appropriate that adequate steps will be taken in this regard.

10. Kempegoda Law College, Chikballapur, Karnataka

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO.45/2010 (LE)

To consider compliance reports received from the following law colleges.

- 1. Dept. of Law, Gauhati University, Gauhati**
- 2. Bankura Samhati Law College, Bankura, West Bengal**
- 3. Dispur Law College, Dispur**
- 4. Bharati Vidyapeet's New Law College, Sangli**
- 5. Bundelkhand Vidhi Mahavidyalaya, Orai, UP**
- 6. Chanakya National Law University, Patna**
- 7. Mahatma Jyoti Rao Phoole University's M.J.R.P Academy of Law, Jaipur**

1. Department of Law, Gauhati University, Gauhati

Having regard to the reply furnished by the Gauhati University we direct that 4 whole time teacher be appointed immediately. In other words two additional teachers will be appointed with requisite qualifications. The payment of UGC pay scale will be mandatory. In view of the facts that this is a Department of Law of the Gauhati University we direct the Gauhati University to make available teachers from the other faculty to teach the non law subjects and thereafter send a compliance report about the names of the teachers, their qualifications and the teaching plan and other relevant material. The compliance report be forwarded by the Vice Chancellor of the Gauhati University within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of this order. Subject to the same we give leave and liberty to the Gauhati University to admit students for the academic year 2010-2011 on the condition that the said admission will take place in a fair, objective and transparent manner and further in accordance with merit and the supervision and the process of selection will be completely undertaken under the direct supervision of the Vice Chancellor of the University. Attention is also drawn to the Rules of Legal Education – 2008 which stipulates that for an institution intending to run any specialized Honours Course, it must at least have three faculties in the group in which specialisation in Honours Course is offered. Since this is B.A. LL.B. (Hons.), specialisation in the same may be taken into account by the Vice Chancellor while complying with the order.

2. Bankura Samhati Law College, Bankura, West Bengal

We have examined the compliance of Bankura Samhati Law College at Jogesh Chandra Bidyanidhi Road (Natunchati), affiliated to the University of Burdwan. We have taken on record the Secretary's affidavit of one Manaranjan Basu S/o Late Santosh Chandra Basu who is the Secretary of the Bankura Samhati Law College Committee. We however notice from the said affidavit that no details have been furnished in respect of the appointment of either two faculty teachers or six non law subject teachers to commence the five year law course. We grant leave and liberty to the said institution to make available details. Upon the receipt of the details including their qualifications, teaching experience, publication if any to the credit which shall be verified and attested by the Vice Chancellor, Burdwan University, the

same will be taken into consideration and the matter will be considered upon the next occasion.

3. Dispur Law College, Dispur

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

4. Bharati Vidyapeeth's New law College, Sangli

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

5. Bundelkhand Vidhi Mahavidyalaya, Orai, U.P.

Consideration of the above matters be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

6. Chanakya National Law University, Patna

Consideration of the above matters be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

7. Mahatma Jyoti Rao Phoole University, Jaipur, Rajasthan

We have taken up Mahatma Jyoti Rao Phoole University the name of the college is MJRP Academy of Law. We have examined the reply filed by the University and after perusing the reply we are satisfied that permission for a five year course can be given only for B.B.A. LL.B. and B.Sc. LL.B. for the academic year 2010-2011 subject to fulfillment of other conditions. The college will give an undertaking that it shall abide by the revised curriculum as and when notified. Admissions to the institution to be undertaken in a fair and transparent manner under the supervision of the Vice Chancellor, University of Jaipur. As and when faculty is in place for B.A. LL.B., liberty is granted to the institution to file a fresh application for recognition of B.A. LL.B. which shall be dealt with in accordance of law.

ITEM NO. 46 / 2010 (LE)

To consider letter No. BCG/2201/2010 dated 20.07.2010 received from the Bar Council of Gujarat requesting the Council to increase the strength of students from 60 to 90 and also increase the sections in the law colleges and Universities in the State of Gujarat.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 47/2010 (LE)

To consider letter No.112/10 dated 29.06.2010 from Secretary, Keonjhar Law College, Keonjhar requesting the Council to permit to change the college name as “Pravas Manjari Law College, Keonjhar” instead of “Keonjhar Law College, Keonjhar”

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 48/2010(LE)

To consider letter No. FOL-HYD/BCI-L2/2010 dated 20.07.2010 from Registrar, ICFAI Foundation for Higher Education, Hyderabad requesting change of approval granted to Faculty of Law from the year 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 to 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 respectively.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 49/2010 (LE)

1. To consider letter from Shivalik Educational Trust, Jammu requesting to permit them to close down the 5 year LL.B. programme at SET Law School.

2. To consider letter No.SBSAS/2010-101 dated 07.07.2010 from management of Rajasthan Law College, Sikar informing the Council that they want to close down their college from the academic session 2010-2011.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 50/2010 (LE)

To consider letter No. 246/II/Law dated 25.06.2010 from Department of Laws, Punjab University, Chandigarh seeking clarification regarding introduction of choice based credit & grading system in law courses.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 51/2010 (LE)

To consider letter No.SLSRF/053/10-11 dated 10.06.2010 from Havnur College of Law requesting the Council to approve Certificate Courses to be started by the College.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 52/2010 (LE)

To consider letters received from various law colleges requesting the Council to extend approval of affiliation for the academic year 2010-2011 since they have deposited the inspection fee in time but the inspection could not be carried out by the Bar Council of India.

&

To consider the request of various law colleges where inspection has been carried out but inspection report has not been received by the Bar Council of India.

In respect of the institutions which have deposited the inspection fee and which have been given permission to run the course for the year 2009-2010 and inspections by the Bar Council of India have not taken place, we pass an order permitting the admission of students and the conduct of legal education of only for the courses which was sanctioned for the year 2009-2010 and the sections which were being allowed for the previous year 2009-2010. We make it clear that although we are passing this order this would be subject to any findings which may be recorded by the inspection committee and the decision taken by the Legal Education Committee during the said year (not inspected/inspected but report not submitted).

The Universities of the respective law colleges must also be issued this communication that this provisional order is being passed having regard to the lack of material on the basis of which Legal Education Committee could take a decision. However, as and when the inspection is undertaken the Legal Education Committee will take a decision and Council shall inform the authority suitably.

Office is directed to prepare a list of colleges which have been inspected but reports not submitted. Directions of Chairman should be sought for prescribing a cut-off date by which the inspection report be submitted. They should be submitted well in advance before the next Legal Education Committee.

As far as the colleges which have paid inspection fees and no inspection have been carried out, let the list be placed before the Chairman and suggested scheduled of inspection be followed. Thereafter, the Chairman in consultation with Members will constitute the requisite inspection committee.

ITEM NO. 53/2010 (LE)

To consider the show cause reply received from Agra Law College, Agra and also verification report along with video compact disc received from Prof. V. B. Coutinho and Prof. Rahul Singh in respect of Agra Law College, Agra.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 54/2010 (LE)

To consider the minutes of the third meeting of the Round Table on Legal Education held on 01.07.2010 received from Mr. R. R. Meena, Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of Higher Education.

and

To consider the matter regarding regulation of legal education by various bodies i.e Ministry of Human Resources Development and Ministry of Law & Justice in the light of the provisions of the Advocates Act, 1961.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 55/2010(LE)

To consider letter No. BC/Gen(Adm)/293/2010 dated 21/06/2010 received from the Bar Council of Maharashtra & Goa forwarding a complaint about the law syllabus and process of examination conducted by the Shivaji University, Kolhapur.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM. NO. 56/2010(LE)

To consider the statement of total Number of law colleges, total number of permanent / deemed law colleges, pending inspection of law colleges, pending inspection reports where inspection has been carried out, list of favorable and unfavorable reports, total number of new law colleges which applied for approval of affiliation, total number existing law colleges which applied for fresh courses.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 57/2010(LE)

To place on record the Note on Reforms of Legal Education in India suggested by the Hon'ble Chairman, Bar Council of India.

Consideration of the above matters b deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

--0--

ITEM NO. 58/2010(LE)

To consider the note on permission to practice foreign law in India, including practice in Arbitration / Mediation Law.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

--0--

ITEM NO. 59/2010

To consider whether it can be made mandatory under Legal Education rules 2008 for each law school / college to implement / install anti plagiarism software for continuing recognition / affiliation by Bar Council of India as well as for law college / school which are seeking fresh recognition / affiliation.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 60/2010(LE)

To place the minutes of the external Expert Committee meeting regarding the first All India Bar Examination for the information of the Members of the Legal Education Committee.

Consideration of the above matters b deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

--0--

ITEM NO. 61/2010(LE)

To place the web format for affiliation / recognition of law colleges / schools developed by DLE for approval of the Legal Education Committee.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

--0--

ITEM NO. 62/2010(LE)

To place the proposed format for affiliation / recognition of foreign Universities developed by DLE for approval of the Legal Education Committee.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

--0--

ITEM NO. 63/2010(LE)

**To consider letter No. 1335/SF/2010 dated 27/7/2010 received from the Hony, Secretary, Bar Council of Delhi regarding enrolment of two applicants.
and**

To consider an application dated 22/7/2010 received from Ms. Pooja Tripathi regarding enrolment in India.

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

ITEM NO. 64/2010(LE)

- 1. To consider the letter dated 15.02.2010 received from Donna L. Pavlick, Assistant Dean & Registrar of Vanderbilt Law School requesting to recognize for the Doctor of Jurisprudence Degree awarded by Vanderbilt Law School in Nashville, Tennessee under Section 24(1)(c)(iv) of the Advocates Act, 1961.**
- 2. To consider the letter dated 26.07.2010 received from Mr. Larry Cunningham, Assistant Dean for Students & Assistant Professor of Legal Writing of ST. John's University, Queens, New York, USA requesting to recognize for the Juris Doctor (JD) as well as Master of Law in Bankruptcy and U.S. Legal Studies awarded by St. John's University under Section 24(1)(c)(iv) of the Advocates Act, 1961.**

Consideration of the above matter be deferred for the next meeting of the Committee.

**(J. R. Sharma)
Officiating Secretary**

**Date: 08.09.2010
Place: Delhi**

ADDITIONAL RESOLUTIONS AND DIRECTIONS

- 1.** The Committee resolves that all the institutions which are going to be approved will have to submit an undertaking that upon the introduction of a revised curriculum the institution will undertake to impart the revised curriculum as and when enforced. Secondly, upon approval, the institution will adopt a fair, transparent and objective procedure for admitting the students into the law course without charging any capitation fee under the supervision of the Registrar of the University and the Registrar must send a compliance report to the Bar Council about the manner of the admission of the students.
- 2.** That the Committee resolves that every application in future will not only be supported by the certificate of affiliation to the concerned University but the report of the University should also be enclosed. This needs to be communicated to all the Universities.
- 3.** Hutama Rajguru Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's (HRSPM) Law College, Rajgurununagar, Pune

[TO BE PUBLISHED ON THE WEBSITE AND IN A MARATHI NEWSPAPER]

We further direct the Secretary that a public notice be issued that the institution is unrecognized as far as the 5 year course is concerned and those students who have obtained admission have done so at their own peril and risk. We issue notice to the University of Pune to explain to us under what circumstances this institution was first recommended and affiliated. We also ask the University of Pune to conduct a detailed enquiry into the circumstances in which this institution was entertained in the first place.

We are of the firm opinion that all the Universities must be notified that before they grant affiliation for any law course they must go through the LEC Regulations as well as the inspection manual. The Universities must be sensitized to the fact that legal education is a responsible stream of educational activity and unless and until adequate credentials are established by the applicant, the application must not be entertained.

- 4.** The Legal Education Committee resolves that all the colleges are called upon immediately to furnish complete details of the Principal, Vice-Principal, members of the teaching faculty with full details including photographs, certificates, publications, teaching undertaken by them in the institution, the teaching plan, the total amount of time spent by them in teaching, whether they are practicing as part-time lawyers, and all other relevant details in the web as well as a hard copy be forwarded to the Bar Council of India. Every college will also enclose copies of the publications, particularly publications during the last 3 years. Publications undertaken by the students may also be forwarded. The said information must be made available to the Directorate of Legal Education, Bar Council of India within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of the order. Any institution which does not comply with the said order, the case of the institution will be

placed before the LEC in the next meeting. It may also be added that full details relating to the salary structure must also be indicated. The nature of appointment, if it is contractual, along with a copy of the contract may also be forwarded. It is made clear that any infraction of this order may lead to the withdrawal of approval/recognition in respect of the said institution.

In addition, each college must also specify to which University is it affiliated. It must indicate the name of the Vice Chancellor, the Registrar, the officer incharge of the Administration and whether the college is submitting an annual report to the University for the purpose of being placed before the Academic Council/Executive Council of the University. In addition, the college will also indicate the appointment process which has been adopted by each one of the Law Colleges for selecting the law teachers and whether adequate publicity was given for the purpose of selecting the teachers. In addition, the college will also specify whether details of the staff were forwarded to the UGC and the University and whether the pay scales are being funded by public funds, namely, aids-in-grant by the UGC/State Government/Central Government.

5. Letter to be issued to each university

The imparting of legal education is a serious matter. The Legal Education Committee of the Bar Council of India which held its meeting on 21.08.2010 has firmly resolved that all institutions will be subjected to internal and external audit with reference to the quality and performance of their educational duties. In order to ascertain whether the institution must continue functioning and if so on what terms and condition, it is becoming necessary for the Bar Council of India to collect all relevant information and details with reference to the students, the teachers, the condition of work, the buildings, the hostel facilities, the library and other facilities. It is also necessary the detail factual information must be made available.

The Legal Education Committee will also coordinate with various other task forces for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy of the information which will be supplied by the University/college. In the event the university which has granted affiliation supplies any inaccurate information, steps will be taking against the said University for the purpose of it being denied grants-in-aid by the UGC under the provision of section 14 of the UGC Act, 1956.

- 6.** The Legal Education Committee resolves that all Master of Laws degrees Ph.D. degrees of domestic/international Universities must be scrutinized by the Bar Council of India and placed in a separate form for the purpose of recognition. It is important that every post graduate degree including doctoral degree must be scrutinized and it must be ascertained that the same has been obtained in a valid, legal, lawful manner after complying with academic requirement for such a programme.

A copy of this resolution will be sent to all the members of the inspection team.

Date: 22.08.2010

7. During the course of discussions the committee is of the firm opinion that the following steps should be taken to ensure transparency in the matter of inspection.
- a. All inspections will be video graphed.
 - b. The CD must be made available and counter signed by all the members of the inspection committee.
 - c. The inspection committee will be constituted henceforth strictly in accordance with the resolution passed on 30.04.2010. The inspection committee will consist of the Chairman of the State Bar Council or his nominee as a Member. In addition, a panel of academics relevant to the region where the college is situated will be drawn up in consultation with the academicians, lawyers and the Chief Justice of the High Court and the panel will be maintained by the Bar Council of India and the academic will be nominated from that panel. It will be open to the Chairman of the Council whoever constitutes the inspection committee to add the member from the state as a special invitee to accompany the inspection team.
 - d. There shall be a moratorium on inspections till further orders.

The office is directed to give advance intimation of inspection to all the members sufficiently so that they can participate in the inspection process. Inspection must be notified at least two weeks before undertaking an inspection.

8. Letter to the Chief Secretary, State of Madhya Pradesh

To answer the following questions: -

- a. Is the State of Madhya Pradesh aware that there are Private Law Colleges in the State?
- b. Is there an inventory of the said colleges?
- c. What steps have been taken by the State Government to find out about the Universities which have granted affiliation to such private colleges?
- d. Whether any Governmental interference led to the grant of affiliation to any of these private law colleges?

We shall appreciate an early report since we are regulating and improving the Legal Education all over the country. Your active cooperation is solicited.

Similar letters to go to all the State's Chief Secretary, Union Territory, State will answer these questions: -

- a. Who runs those private law colleges?
- b. How did they get affiliations? Which are the universities? and
- c. Whether there are Government/political interference in the grant of such affiliation?